

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/980,016	EIJKELHOF, ROGIER	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Manuel L. Barbee	2857	
All Participants: (1) <u>Manuel L. Barbee</u> . (2) <u>Thomas W. Perkins</u> .		Status of Application: _____ (3) _____. (4) _____.	
Date of Interview: <u>13 October 2005</u>		Time: _____	
Type of Interview: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Telephonic <input type="checkbox"/> Video Conference <input type="checkbox"/> Personal (Copy given to: <input type="checkbox"/> Applicant <input type="checkbox"/> Applicant's representative)			
Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No If Yes, provide a brief description:			
Part I. Rejection(s) discussed:			
Claims discussed:			
Prior art documents discussed:			
Part II. SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: <i>Mr. Perkins confirmed that no Appeal Brief has been submitted subsequent to the Notice of Appeal filed 7 February 2005.</i>			
Part III. <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. <input type="checkbox"/> It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above. 			
 MARC S. HOFF SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2800			
(Examiner/SPE Signature)		(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)	